Million $ Match! Donate Now to Maximize Your Impact!

03/28/24 • BIG TECH › NEWS

'Misleading' Letter Urges Support for Pandemic Treaty, as Louisiana Becomes First U.S. State to Reject WHO Power Grab

More than 100 former world leaders and public figures urged WHO member states to finalize a new "pandemic accord," which the leaders said does not threaten nations' sovereignty, but critics called the letter misleading because it doesn't address the proposed amendments to the International Health Regulations.

By Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D.

44

World Health Organization

Miss a day, miss a lot. *Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day.* ***It's free.***

More than 100 former world leaders and public figures are urging the World Health Organization (WHO) member states to finalize a new "pandemic accord" in time for the 77th World Health Assembly, set to take place in Geneva, Switzerland, May 27-June 1.

In an open letter, dated March 20, the leaders wrote:

> "A pandemic accord is critical to safeguard our collective future. Only a strong global pact on pandemics can protect future generations from a repeat of the COVID-19 crisis, which led to millions of deaths and caused widespread social and economic devastation."

Critics of the proposed pandemic accord told The Defender that the letter is deceiving because it addresses the proposed accord, or "Pandemic Treaty," but doesn't reference the amendments to the International Health Regulations (2005) (IHR).

The IHR amendments, proposed in 2023, are being negotiated concurrently with the accord. Critics of the letter said it is meant to deflect attention from what they argue are the more onerous proposals contained in the IHR amendments.

Dr. David Bell, a public health physician, biotech consultant and former director of Global Health Technologies at Intellectual Ventures Global Good Fund, told The Defender the letter is "quite shameful" and "deceitful."

Bell said:

> "[The letter] follows the lead of the director general in misleading the public but claiming, rightly, that the pandemic agreement does not include provisions that hand over authority from countries to the WHO, when these are in the proposed amendments to the IHR that accompanies the pandemic accord.
>
> "The proposed IHR amendments specifically mention border closures, mandated vaccination and other measures now associated with lockdowns. They specifically mention documentation being needed to cross borders and mention digital passports as one of the alternatives."

Dutch attorney Meike Terhorst told The Defender the open letter "only refers to the pandemic accord to confuse everybody."

"The real meat is in the IHR amendments, because on the basis of the amendments, the director general of WHO is anticipated to get independent legislative and executive powers while any legal review is made impossible," Terhorst said. "The secrecy surrounding the drafts suggest that we are dealing with a coup d'état."


"The Wuhan Cover-Up"
by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.
ORDER NOW

Are negotiations in trouble?

Some critics suggested the open letter may be a sign that negotiations for the pandemic accord are flailing.

"There is no member state consensus on either document," said Shabnam Palesa Mohamed, executive director of Children's Health Defense (CHD) Africa and founder of Transformative Health Justice. "With eight weeks to go before the World Health Assembly, the WHO is evidently nervous about the accord being derailed."

The BMJ reported that "little progress" has been made on the pandemic accord draft, and that the waiving of intellectual property "remains a sticking point for member nations at loggerheads in the discussions."

"Proponents of the accord fear that the final draft could be watered down in negotiations and that weaker language might result in countries only being recommended to take certain actions rather than being compelled to by international law," according to The BMJ.

Others noted growing global opposition to the proposed accord and IHR amendments. Iran, New Zealand, Slovakia and the Netherlands recently rejected IHR amendments proposed in 2022, while in South Africa, a "WHO Withdrawal Bill" has been proposed.

In the U.S., the Louisiana Senate voted unanimously on March 26 against allowing the WHO, United Nations and World Economic Forum to wield any influence over the state of Louisiana.

"The fact that all Democrats voted for it indicates how popular the sentiment is with their constituents," said Dr. Meryl Nass, an internist and founder of Door to Freedom, "I think it sends a message to politicians everywhere that favoring the WHO will be accompanied by great political cost."

RFK Jr. and Brian Hooker's
New Book: "Vax-Unvax"
ORDER NOW

Pandemic accord doesn't threaten sovereignty — but IHR amendments do

Independent journalist James Roguski told The Defender that the letter from world leaders to the WHO is "mostly correct" as the proposed pandemic accord is not an "attack on national sovereignty."

However, he and Dr. Kat Lindley, president of the Global Health Project and director of the Global COVID Summit, Lindley pointed out that the IHR amendments do pose a threat to nations' sovereignty.

Lindley said the IHR amendments will make the WHO the "global authority on health in the event of new pandemics, which they clearly feel will be happening over and over again" and may pave the way for "future vaccine mandates and the imposition of digital health passes.


Dr. Kat Lindley @KLVeritas · Follow

The key point I am trying to make is that DG never discusses Amendments to IHR because they contradict his point on the WHO not attacking sovereignty. We are 2 months away from this disaster!

Dr. Kat Lindley @KLVeritas

Below is the excerpt from the speech that David is referring to, by the way notice how DG Tedros does not address Article 18 of the Amendments to IHR that gives him the rights that he says Pandemic treaty in Article 24 paragraph 3 does not. Tedros is very cunning and I agree with...

Article 18 Recommendations with respect to persons, baggage, cargo, containers, conveyances, goods and postal parcels

1. Recommendations issued by WHO to States Parties with respect to persons may include the following advice:

- no specific health measures are advised;
- review travel history in affected areas;
- review proof of medical examination and any laboratory analysis;
- require medical examinations;
- review proof of vaccination or other prophylaxis;
- require vaccination or other prophylaxis;
- place suspect persons under public health observation;
- implement quarantine or other health measures for suspect persons;
- implement isolation and treatment where necessary of affected persons;
- implement tracing of contacts of suspect or affected persons;
- refuse entry of suspect and affected persons;
- refuse entry of unaffected persons to affected areas; and
- implement exit screening and/or restrictions on persons from affected areas.

6:03 AM · Mar 26, 2024

92 See the latest COVID-19 information on X

Read 6 replies

"If you don't pay attention to anything else, you must pay attention to Article 18 of the IHR amendments," Lindley said.

According to Article 18 of the proposed IHR amendments, "Recommendations issued by WHO to States Parties with respect to persons may include the following advice":

- Review proof of medical examination and any laboratory analysis.
- Require medical examinations.
- Review proof of vaccination or other prophylaxis.
- Require vaccination or other prophylaxis.
- Place suspect persons under public health observation.
- Implement quarantine or other health measures for suspect persons.
- Implement isolation and treatment where necessary of affected persons.
- Implement tracing of contacts of suspect or affected persons.
- Refuse entry of suspect and affected persons.
- Refuse entry of unaffected persons to affected areas.
- Implement exit screening and/or restrictions on persons from affected areas.

"Even more troubling," Roguski said, are proposed amendments to Annex 6 which "empower the WHO to be the sole authority empowered to determine which 'vaccines' or other prophylaxis would be deemed to be acceptable for international travel."

The language in the amendments "clearly changes the relationship the WHO has with the member states," Lindley said. "Article 1 changes non-binding recommendations to binding obligations."

Roguski said the pandemic accord is "not about health" but "a trade agreement designed to transfer wealth from the nations of the 'Global North' to the oligarchs of the Pharmaceutical Hospital Emergency Industrial Complex in the 'Global South.'"

Your support helps fund this work, and CHD's related advocacy, education and scientific research.
DONATE NOW

Elites 'clearly in support' of pandemic accord

The March 20 letter to the WHO was published by Project Syndicate, a commentary website supported by George Soros' Open Society Foundations, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, the MasterCard Foundation, the World Bank and the Google Digital News Initiative.


Project Syndicate @ProSyn · Follow

Beyond protecting countless lives, the timely delivery of a global pandemic accord would send a powerful message, write @HelenClarkNZ, @VairaVF, and @GordonBrown. Even in our fractured world, global solutions to global problems are possible. @WHO

project-syndicate.org
Delivering the Pandemic Accord the World Needs |...
Helen Clark, Vaira Vīķe-Freiberga and Gordon Brown urge global leaders to reach an agreement on ...

6:00 AM · Mar 20, 2024

16 See the latest COVID-19 information on X

Read 18 replies

The letter's 105 signatories included former U.K. prime ministers Tony Blair and Gordon Brown, former United Nations Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon, 23 former national presidents and 22 former prime ministers.

"The 'elites' are clearly in support of the 'pandemic accord' and one must ask why," Lindley said. "There need to be more thorough investigations into the financial ties between the WHO, public-private partnerships and governmental contracts."

Nass told The Defender it was notable that the signatories largely consisted of former politicians.

"The letter was signed primarily by a group of has-been politicians whose globalist credentials have been on display for anyone to see for years now," Nass said. "I was surprised that the letter's purveyors could not come up with a more credible group."

Mohamed, executive director of CHD Africa, said the list of signatories is the "clearest evidence that the treaty serves the socio-economic and geo-political interests of interconnected elitists who will benefit from lucrative contracts, funding, positions and speaking engagements."

Despite WHO claims that there are no plans for global vaccine passports, Blair has called for the development of a "national digital infrastructure" that countries "will need with these new vaccines." He previously endorsed The Good Health Pass, a vaccine passport initiative launched by ID2020.

Secrecy surrounding IHR amendments 'criminal'

Experts told The Defender the WHO is attempting to connect the pandemic accord to the threat of future pandemics in an attempt to shore up support in the face of delays in the negotiation process and growing global opposition.

"It is of interest to note that up until recently 'pandemics' were a rare occurrence but according to the WHO and MSM we are hours, days, months away from future 'Disease X' that is 20 times more deadly, despite the fact we do not know what it is," Lindley said.

At the World Economic Forum's annual meeting in January, Director-General Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus used the threat of a pandemic caused by "Disease X" to call for passage of the pandemic accord.

"There is no logical reason a disease 20 times more virulent will appear out of nowhere, unless it comes from a gain-of-function lab which the WHO proposes to supervise in the February 2024 version of the pandemic accord," said Nass, who participated in the World Council for Health's expert hearing on the "WHO power grab" March 26.

Roguski said the ninth meeting of the Intergovernmental Negotiating Body, where pandemic accord negotiations are taking place, is scheduled to conclude on March 28. But the negotiations have been "very contentious," he said. "There is a very good possibility that they will need to schedule additional meetings in April."

According to Roguski, IHR amendment negotiations are similarly delayed — but are occurring in greater secrecy than pandemic accord amendments. He said the Working Group for the International Health Regulations was required to submit a final package of amendments by Jan. 27, but failed to do so and will convene again April 22-26.

"From the looks of the leaked negotiating text, it seems like it has been simplified in order to ensure passage," Roguski said. "The secrecy surrounding the proposed amendments to the IHR is literally criminal."

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!
First Name.. Last Name.. Email.. SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D.
Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D., based in Athens, Greece, is a senior reporter for The Defender and part of the rotation of hosts for CHD.TV's "Good Morning CHD."

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support.

Republishing Guidelines

Donate Now
You make the difference.

Latest News
Did the WHO Water Down Its 'Pandemic Treaty'? Not Really, Experts Say
'A Dangerous Vaccine for a Nothing Disease': U.S. Stockpiling Bird Flu Vaccines
WHO, Media Drum Up Fear of Bird Flu Spreading to Humans
MORE NEWS

Latest Views
How What You Eat May Change Your Unborn Children's Genes — and Affect Their Health
'Corporate Greed' to Blame for Soaring Food Prices, FTC Report Says
Australia's Public Broadcaster Partners With Trusted News Initiative to 'Protect Public' From 'Misinformation'
MORE VIEWS

Get FREE News & Updates!
First Name..
Last Name..
Email..
SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts
Sign up for breaking news from The Defender
123-456-7890
REQUIRED. Phone number must be in a standard 10-digit format: 1234567890 or 123-456-7890

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy
We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.
Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.
Got it

44 Comments 1 Login ▾

Join the discussion…

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?

Name

♡ 11 • Share Best Newest Oldest

folketvinner54
a month ago
Every State in the Union should reject the WHO's powergrab.
43 0 Reply

tony ➜ folketvinner54
a month ago
Florida REJECTS IT ALL !
19 0 Reply

Peter van der Stam ➜ folketvinner54
a month ago
First of all: they are NOT your doctor. Or are they.??
Money is so important to them.
13 0 Reply

r2bzjudge
a month ago
"It is of interest to note that up until recently 'pandemics' were a
rare occurrence but according to the WHO and MSM we are hours, days,
months away from future 'disease X' that is 20 times more deadly, despite the fact we do not know what it is," Lindley said.

A 20x deadly Disease X is a Gaslighting fiction. It is meant to scare the public into supporting pandemic totalitarianism. We don't need a WHO power grab. We just need medical common sense. Those in charge during Covid had an ulterior agenda, which had nothing to do with public health. Sweden did just fine, without all the draconian mandates. The ulterior agenda of those in charge, did a lot of harm to the public at large. The lesson that should be learned is to not do that again, rather than double down on it.

Covid was a pandemic of public health malpractice, not of a virus. As whistle blowing nurse Gail Macrae said, 90% or more of the Covid deaths at the hospital where she worked were due to hospital protocols. The creation of a pandemic treaty and amended IHR, is an act of corruption, not public health.
40 0 Reply

Peter van der Stam ➜ r2bzjudge
a month ago
Disease X is already there to be introduced in the near future.
So is the Jab ready to be introduced.
Why would the rich want the health passports ?? to just make more money.
The greedy, never let go.
13 0 Reply

brbg ➜ Peter van der Stam
a month ago
Well, the globalist plan is to reduce the population by 75%. This is just part of their demonic plans.
8 0 Reply

Ronald Martono ➜ r2bzjudge
a month ago
Indeed the protocols killed! In reality there is no (none, not one) virus proven to exist (all what they have is computer models) and even IF a virus would exist (for no one can proof something does not exist) it would not be the root cause of disease - as has been well proven by Dr R G Hamer with his discovery of the 5 Biological Natural Laws. Once a critical mass has understood the 5BN the whole pandemic tyranny and medical treatment crippling will be over, no one can scare someone having thoroughly studied and understood the 5BN neither with a "cancer" nor "infection" diagnosis.
9 0 Reply

Karen Bracken
a month ago
End gain of function and shut down all BSL3 & BSL4 labs and pandemics will also end. EVERY "pandemic" we have ever had was caused either by accident or on purpose by man not nature.
27 0 Reply

Dennis ➜ Karen Bracken
a month ago
I totally agree with you. The WHO is telling us they are working on the next pandemic. Why are countries not listening????
16 0 Reply

NikkiB ➜ Karen Bracken
a month ago
We also must end the childhood immunization compensation act of 1986 that has allowed HHS to grant immunity to these corporations in so doing a kangaroo court was established where families have a difficult time proving they were harmed by a vaccine. HHS is given great power also regarding human experimentation without informed consent by way of EO 12333. We also need to investigate the patent system and how colleges are receiving all these funds to experiment basically by the BayhDole Act of 1980. This is why Bill Gates is so interested in these things. He holds and his company Microsoft have held patents no company should have. Humans should not be patented but they have been this is a blatant crime to have allowed this.
9 0 Reply

BarbaraCharis
a month ago
Per Meryl Nass newletter today...17 Attorney Generals in 1/19/2017 signed a petition to reject the WHO's ruling being accepted in America with the public health emergency rule regarding the push of vaccines. America accepted a foreign agency's information, which was illegal. The WHO should not have any jurisdiction over the U.S. People must find their reps phone numbers and contact them...Tell them we must EXIT the WHO and the UN. These organizations have no right to set rules for Americans to follow. The UN iis getting one third of its budget money from US taxpayers...this is totally unconstitutional. Our money is not to go to a foreign country or organization.
26 0 Reply

Voyt Regnal ➜ BarbaraCharis
a month ago
'reps' in Canada completely ignore any contacts with people who elected them...my personal experience.
11 0 Reply

Leon ➜ Voyt Regnal
24 days ago
In fact, nowhere in the laws is it mentioned that a representative has to hear or have any kind of contact with or take advice from those who voted for.

When we say that someone belongs to a political party it really means that.

That is why, all in all, representatives should be picked up by chance from the voter's list and have not the right to be selected more than once in a lifetime and be forced to go back to their previous job for at least 5 years.

It has always been the custom to elect " highly educated" persons but when you look closely at the results, what do you see?

To be really and effectively represented we should have plumbers, carpenters, electricians, truck drivers, sailors, farmers, and the like.

How could they do worse than those whose main credentials is " to have been professional politicians" ?

What a nation needs is not blazers and ties but common sense and honesty.
1 0 Reply

Nancy
a month ago
wow congratulations to the State of Louisiana.
let's all show the backbone that they have shown.
of course we don't need this. are we gonna sit back
so the elites can make themselves happy.
26 0 Reply

Peter van der Stam ➜ Nancy
a month ago
Richer, you mean.
7 0 Reply

bornczech
a month ago
Kudos to Louisiana!
23 0 Reply

Dennis
a month ago
If the WHO wants to stop the next planned pandemic they need only to prohibit Gain of Function research. And if countries wish to stop it they need only to make Gain of Function research a capital offense. Those responsible for the Covid 19 release and the subsequent "vaccine" deaths probably killed 17 million people worldwide and permanently injured countless others. How is this not murder?
21 0 Reply

Leon ➜ Dennis
24 days ago
How is this not murder?

Because it has been decided so by the elected.

Why did they decided so ?

Because of their personal and selfish
" Gain of Function ".

Gain= $$$$$$
Function= President, Senators, Congressmen, Judges, Lawyers, ...
2 0 Reply

Laura Pieters
a month ago
I sincerely hope many more, if not all, states follow suit.
20 0 Reply

Peter van der Stam
a month ago
"The 'elites' are clearly in support of the 'pandemic accord' and one must ask why,"

How do you mean: WHY ???
Isn't that clear ?? shares in big pHARMa are telling the story.
16 0 Reply

Andreas
a month ago
"which led to millions of deaths and caused widespread social and economic devastation"
The exaggerated and inaccurate deaths and economic devastation resulted from the totalitarian and greed based dictates imposed on society by these sociopaths.
Mandating vaccines as the only solution while censoring doctors is not the practice of medicine. Truly the lock downs and other authoritarian actions by those still in charge caused all the social and economic devastation.
Fool me once shame on you . . . fool me twice, shame on me.
13 0 Reply

DeMaynerd
a month ago
Hoping all States reject this illegal take-over by WHO (and the UN), but the east and west coast States will probably sign their lands away. If so, the rest of the States ought to follow the actions of Texas.....to hell with the liars, thieves and murderers who are shadow sitting behind the WHO and UN.
12 0 Reply

Chrono Man
a month ago
The WHO and the WEF ARE disease X.
11 0 Reply

Pamela Evans Gerry
a month ago
Let the Pretenders know that the People of the world don't care what they scribble on their papers.
Ideas like these will never manifest once Mankind remembers who they really are and why they're here. As each one of us shifts attention away from the material/digital realm, and spends more minutes scattered throughout each day focusing on our own spiritual natures; all con-tracts will be broken.
9 0 Reply

Jacqueline Yvonne Frisbie ➜ Pamela Evans Gerry
a month ago
Amen, sister!!! I agree completely.
3 0 Reply

Voyt Regnal
a month ago
Meanwhile in Canada : Despite nearly 19,000 Canadians signing the petition, the Trudeau (WEF/UN/WHO implant)government adopted the amendments proposed by the WHO. 🤬
More here

https://www.lifesitenews.co...
9 0 Reply

Leon ➜ Voyt Regnal
24 days ago
How would it be otherwise?

People has elected someone whose only credentials is his family name.

The same credentials with someone named John Doe from Nowhere City would not have get him any kind of job.

He is doing his best to achieve his father's goal which was to enslave Canada, which he demonstrated clearly when he " sold " the Bank of Canada's sovereignty in1974.

From this very moment the Canada's debt, which has always been at a very low and easily manageable level, has entered an endless upward exponential curve.

Thank you Trudeau's family for what you have done to this country.

The greatest enemies are always those from inside.

👍 1 👎 0 Reply

maria
a month ago

Salud!!! to Sud Africa and Louisiana to Reject WHO Power Grab

👍 8 👎 0 Reply

drw22
a month ago

Our government is part of the cabal that wants to kill most of us using this "treaty" to do it. "They" get to force inject you with a fake vaccine. If you do get sick, they decide the standard of care and you are treated with something that could kill you.

👍 7 👎 0 Reply

BH387
a month ago

A misleading letter from the WHO? Who could imagine that?

👍 6 👎 0 Reply

Ektor
a month ago

WHO is the scum of the earth. Like all these putrid organizations, they want to control everything, including who lives and who dies.

👍 6 👎 0 Reply

MICHAEL
a month ago edited

We're not dealing with "honorable men" and there are many dirty underhanded negotiation tricks that unethical men will use. Just to list one to explore the magnitude....you focus on an issue like digital rights and make it seem like the make or break issue....you set out several of those....but really you're going to sweep those off the table at the last minutes to get signed. Your ultimate objective all along was to get the deal signed even if it had none of the features you initially wanted....you just get a signed deal on the table and then start adding and interpreting that later. Meanwhile everyone tries to negotiate issues that are not really the key but it gains commitment to winning the negotiation with those who should not want a deal at all. These are not ethical people...how do we prevent this? sovereign states as quickly as possible.

Anything besides a delay is the wrong move.

👍 6 👎 0 Reply

NikkiB
a month ago

Well, something not being connected to this revelation is how UN WHO by the different agreements like Agenda 2021, 2030 and beyond also established what could be considered planned pandemics and disasters in these simulations. This was openly laid out as required by all signatories of these agreements. We also should relate how these foundations connect to education, public health, military and intelligence too. Bill Gates like Elon Musk and all the tech leaders has military contracts. This connects to Rockefeller involvement to military and vaccines this was from the beginning, the Rockefeller foundation and institute invested in biotechnoloy that is linked to bioweapon research. This is why we had HHS NIH involvement with CIA and DARPA too. It appears that signing us onto the 1992 Agenda 2021 that led to WHO agreements and those IHR amendments. This is why sometime after those agreements we began to see WHO insignia logo on our state immunization cards when it used to show our state public health authority.

👍 5 👎 0 Reply

brbg
a month ago

It's pretty clear that the globalists plan on releasing another virus to serve their depopulation agenda. Then they can come up with another deadly "vaccine" which will kill even more of us.

👍 5 👎 0 Reply

Ricky Ricardo
a month ago

I know that there are many people/organizations working to stop these evil agendas. I want you all to look at the "Partners" of the WEF in the following link. I doubt if the WHO and UN would be any different. These are the most powerful companies on the planet and they're all used to getting what they want - an incomprehensible concentration of power!. CHD and others have their work cut out for them!

https://jonfleetwood.substa...

👍 5 👎 0 Reply

> **Voyt Regnal** ➦ Ricky Ricardo
> a month ago
>
> WEF is a policy maker. UN, WHO are below - policy distributors. Corporations are essentially world's resources controllers. But you must carefully read who is on top of policy makers and who is controlling huge number of powerful corporations .
>
> https://www.ukcolumn.org/bl...
>
> https://prepareforchange.ne...
>
> 👍 4 👎 0 Reply
>
> > **Ricky Ricardo** ➦ Voyt Regnal
> > 25 days ago
> >
> > Thanks, Voyt; good info. I'm sure that I have the Black Nobility somewhere. I saved them both to my library anyway.
> >
> > 👍 0 👎 0 Reply

nick quinlan
a month ago

It's up to all citizens to reject anything from the WHO/WEF/UN from here on out, no matter what our corrupt to the core politicians sign on to.
They obviously do not care about our rights at all, and this is nothing but a power grab, an attempt to gain power and control over all global citizens.
Reject this as tho your lives depend upon it, because they do.

👍 5 👎 0 Reply

Paul Hastilow
a month ago

Just as with “Insurance Sales men and Companies” the jist of their Protection is in the “Small Print”. That Excludes you from what you thought you were Entitled to, in favour of Them….! They “Con You” into Agreement…!
“Unelected Entities” do not Deserve your Attention other than “Protecting yourself from their Fraudulent Scams”.
When it sounds Too Good to be True….! Have Faith that it Is….?
“Tedros the Tyrant” at WHO, is the Same As “Klaus Schwab of WEF” “Albert Bourla CEO of Pfizer”, Bill Gates, George Soros……! They All Have “Hidden Agendas” for their “Elites Clubs”.
They are Not Honest Men, ? they are driven by Greed for Money and Profits to fund their Lavish life Styles of “The Rich and Infamous”. !
As shown by the list of “Scrap Heap politicians” who Advocate their Fraud…!
Just Don’t Agree to Anything other than their Forced Retirement….!
And May the Good Lord guide us, and keep us from Harm…!

👍 4 👎 0 Reply

Jerry Alatalo
a month ago

“There is no member state consensus on either document,” said Shabnam Palesa Mohamed, executive director of Children’s Health Defense (CHD) Africa and founder of Transformative Health Justice. “With eight weeks to go before the World Health Assembly, the WHO is evidently nervous about the accord being derailed.”

*

Intuition says Pfizer will soon be advertising their new drug "Whotixa" on TV screens across America and New Zealand (the only nations which allow drug ads on TV) - made especially for the extreme nervous ticks being increasingly experienced by WHO global totalitarian dictatorship wannabees...

👍 3 👎 0 Reply

Christine Vigneri
a month ago

I call BS.

👍 2 👎 0 Reply

BarbaraCharis
24 days ago

The WHO is headed by a man Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus... who terrorized his own people in Ethiopia to gain money and power. Those who would put a man like this in as Director of the WHO have to be as unethical and criminal as he is. It time to EXIT the UN and the WHO. America contributes one third of the UN's budget...and there are 192 other countries in the UN... American taxpayer dollars are being used by the UN to support the WHO, too. Everytime America brings up an issue to vote on...it is blocked. The only thing these organizations want is our MONEY! Its time to get out of them . Contact your reps...tell them Exit the UN and the WHO!

👍 1 👎 0 Reply

Mary Catherine Carlblom
22 days ago

No country or state should want the WHO being in charge of their national policy, which impacts their national sovereignty. No free will or self-determination for citizens of free countries would ever want to entertain this abomination, which is not an "agreement" but rather a mandate for complete control over nations and citizens of those nations. DO NOT COMPLY.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply

Voyt Regnal
a month ago

Desperation runs high:

👍 0 👎 0 Reply

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data

THE DEFENDER IS AVAILABLE IN:

ENGLISH SPANISH PORTUGUESE GERMAN ITALIAN FRENCH

Children’s Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

TAKE ACTION

DONATE FREE SIGN-UP BECOME A MEMBER

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

© 2016 - 2024 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved • Sitemap